

Comment Set 30



Point Richmond Neighborhood Council  
P.O. Box 70386  
Richmond, CA 94801

Ms. Valerie Van Way  
California State Lands Commission  
100 Howe Avenue, Suite 100 – South  
Sacramento, California 95825

Dear Ms. Van Way;

I write for the Point Richmond Neighborhood Council in support of the comments offered by TRAC on the February 2006 Draft Environmental Impact Report for Chevron Richmond's Long Wharf Marine Terminal Lease Consideration. TRAC's comments were included in a letter to you from Bruce Bayaert, TRAC Chair, and dated April 4, 2006.

Chevron Richmond is not only one of Richmond's largest industrial neighbors, it is also adjoins Point Richmond, a neighborhood of the City of Richmond. Many of us who live in the Point see the refinery, the company's many storage tanks, and the Long Wharf daily. They are part of our lives.

Like Chevron, the Bay Trail is also part of our lives, and a very important part at that. The Trail provides access, both visual and physical, to San Francisco Bay and its close environs not only for residents of Point Richmond but also for other residents of our city and for residents of the many other East Bay communities. Access to the Bay Trail is a major reason many of us choose to live where we do.

Currently, Bay Trail access is incomplete in that the portion of the trail planned for Chevron property has not been constructed. As a result, ready access to Point Molate and the Point San Pablo Peninsula is difficult for Trail users. Renewing Chevron's lease for the Long Wharf and its shoreline facilities provides the opportunity to remove this problem by carrying out the mitigations outlined in TRAC's April 4, 2006 letter.

The Point Richmond Neighborhood Council urges the State Lands Commission to give careful consideration to TRAC's request.

Yours truly,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Donald L. Woodrow".

Donald L. Woodrow  
President, Point Richmond Neighborhood Council

CC: Bruce Bayaert

30-1

## **Response to Comment Set #30**

30-1

Please refer to response to Comments 3-1 through 3-15.